G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1908
(Chigrai)

File No. 387 - 08

Serial Nos. 16 14

Papers Filed.

Month September 1910

SUBJECT.

Passing orders regarding the Partnership of Chubbina Chidiyamma & al Harajowdanahalli, Hole Narsipur -

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES
	Mis 586-10 372-22 Pb 14

G. . 11] 190 9.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mysore)

NOTES.

File No. 384-08

FILL HEADING.

Appeal of Kappanna against the Hassan Dy. Comm'rs. order regarding the Archakship of "Muttina Mudiyamma" alias Lakshmi Devi temple at Hargodana -halli, Holenarsipur Taluk

From

Kappanna through his Advocate Mr. Subraya Mother

No.	Dated	Received
Diary No. 787	Enclos 5	Spare Copies — Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays for the recognition of his claim to the Archakship of Muttina Mudiyamma alias Lakshmi Devi temple at Hargodanahalli in the Holenarsipur Taluk

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted with offs

Issue

NOTES —First sheet

G I B—50 000- 3 1 07

venue

File No. 384 of 1908

Serial No.

(2) To, The Dy Commr, Hassan Dist
No 1172 Dt 27-2-09.
Forwards the Appeal petition for report
To, Kappanna (through his Advocate Mr. Subraya Modaliyar).
Intimates the above.

(3) From, The Dy Commr, Hassan Dist
Letter no 382/5 Dt 16-4-09
Submits report on the Archak ship of Lakshmidevi temple in question together with the Vernacular records
Submitted with a draft calling for the extract of the Inam register to ascertain who the Enjoyers were at the time of the Inam settlement.
Dt 29.4.09 Dt 29/4/09

To,
The Superintendent of Inam Settlements
Docket no 1838 Dt 7-5-09
Calls for the extract of the Inam register pertaining to the Inam land endowed to Sri Lakshmi Devi temple at Hangodanahally, Holenarsipur Taluk
From,
The Same Officer

Note—Contin. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5-08

and venue Secretariat | Revy File No. 387 of 1908

Serial No.	
	Letter no. 93 Dy 17-5-09. States that there is no Inam endowed to the temple.
	Submitted with a draft to the Dy Comm: Hassan Dist.
AU 26/5/09	AU 26/5/09
	To the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan Dt no 2083 dated 4th June 1909 Revy 387-08-6 Forwards copy of the above letter of the Inam Superintendent and requests that correct particulars regarding the situation etc of the lands as may be furnished
	A draft to the Inam Superintendent is subd.
	Subd. AU 28/6/09
8	To, the Inam Supt Letter no. 618 Dy 30-8-09 Forwards copies of Dy Comm's Letter and the Khetwar Patrika and Calls for the extract of the Inam register
9	From, the Land officer, Letter no 26 Dy 6-9-09 Requests the Vernacular Correspondence on the subject
	Submitted with a draft complying with the requisition
	AU 28/9/09

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—15-5-08

Revenue evariat.

Inam File No. 387 of 1908

Serial No. 10

To, The Inam Supdt

Letter no 872 Dt 23-9-09

Forwards the Vernacular corespondence refs to in Sl 9

From, The Same officer,

Letter no 29 Dt 30-9-1909

Reports that there is no Inam land endowed to Lakshmidevi temple in question

This is a dispute relating to the Archakship of "Muthumanmdiamma" also known as "Lakshmidevi" temple in the Sarvamanya village of Haragowdana-halli (endowed to Sri Chaturbhuja Pattabhiramaswamy and Neelakanteswara Swamy temples) in the Holenarasipur Tq.

The dispute commences on 1st April 1903 (7 (a) 9 3) when the villagers of Hargowdanahally, complained to the DK Office that the Patel had recently allowed one Kappanna to do Puje work & that Kappanna's mother had suffered imprisonment in a criminal case and his sister who was an out-caste on account of her bad conduct, was living with her brother and prayed that kappanna might not be permitted to do Puje, &c &c etc.

Note—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Revenue Secretariat.

Mmz File No. 387 of 190 8

Serial No

1094)

After enquiry the Amildar ordered on 18th April 1903 that till the production of a Siddha-Patrike (ಸಿದ್ಧ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆ) by the gajar Kappanna should not be permitted to work in the temple and that Puje work should be taken from (the other) Muttanna Kappanna having produced the order releasing him from excommunication, the Amildar directed him to do Puje as per manual (Hukum of 23-5-1903)

On 5th February 1904, Muttanna complained to the Taluk office stating that as he was also the Pujari of the temple, both of them should be permitted to enjoy the lands attached to the Archa.

7(091)

+7(aaa)

7(a-b)

7(a-f)

After examining the Shanbhogas Panchayet mahazar of 6th August 1904 and the mahazar of the Patel and others of 10th August 1904 and after holding the local enquiry at the village, the Amildar Mr. H-R. Sreenivasa Rao directed on 17-8-1904

+7(a-g-S)

that both Kappanna and Muttanna should discharge the Archak duties and enjoy the lands - No documentary evidence was produced and the oral evidence recorded is in favour of one party or the other, according as the person

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

examined were inclined towards them -

+Hag P.) On Kappanna's Appeal petition of
29th August 1904, the Dy. Commr directed
that the Amildar's order should be held in
+Hag Q) abeyance (order no. 484 of 20-6-1905) and that
the General Assistant Commr Mr Ramaseshaiah
+Hag P) should dispose of the Appeal (order no 700 of
16th march 1905).

The Genl Assistant Commr having
heard both parties and having taken their
statements (on 2-6-1906) and also having
heard Mr Hiranniah, Pleader for Kappanna,
+Hag S) recorded his finding on 9th June 1906 confirming
the Amildar's order -

The above order having been com-
municated by the Amildar to Kuppanna,
the latter declined to abide by it -

(Hag R) On 28th August 1906, he petd the
Genl. Asst Commr praying that the temple
jewels in his possession should not be given
to the other Archak Lingappa (brother
of Muthappa who seems to have died some
1½ years ago) but should continue in the
custody of Shanbhogue and others as
before -

During the Local enquiry, Lingappa
(Hag T) deposes on 29th August 1906 that his father

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

and Kappanna's father were cousin brother (Kappanna says that his opponent bears no relationship to him), each of whom was doing Puje and enjoying one-half of the Temple lands, that after his father's death his elder brother Muthanna and Rame Gowda father of Kappanna were doing Puje, that Rame Gowda was paying the Kandayam on the portion of the land in their possession & that after Rame Gowda's death some 10 years ago, his son Kappanna and the deponent for his brother Muthanna were doing Puje work - He prayed that as Kappanna had not of late been worshipping the Temple jewels in Kappanna's possession may be given to him as also one-half of the lands.

On 22d January 1907, Kappanna again appealed to the Dy Commr and that officer transferred the petition for disposal by the Govt. Assistant Commr as an appeal against the order of the latter will have to go up before Govt as per Rev no 35-44 Dy 8th July 1907.

(Hasan)

Kappanna has appealed to Govt against the above orders of the Local authorities -

The Temple does not enjoy any

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

venue at.

mmz File No. 387 of 1908

Serial No.	
	cash inam Part 2 of Survey no. 2 (dry) measuring 3-9 and assessed at Rs 2-2-0 (Local cess being 2 annas) is in the temple khata. There has been some correspondence between this office and the Inam Supt to know the nature of these lands. The Local authorities including the Dy Commr say that these are Inam lands and the Inam Supt says in his final communication that these are kandayam lands.
(1ay W1) (+Ha. V1)	Submitted for orders AVS 24.11.09 Copy of last letter & Supt's letter is a Stout Inam Enquiry will be forwarded by Dy Commr 24/11/09
	Order — 1.12.09
Circ	
12.	To, The Dy Commr, Hassan Dist Docket no. 1352 Dy 29.11.09. Forwards copy of Inam Supt's Letter and enquires if this land is a Sthal Inam not recognized by Govt
13.	From, The Dy. Commr, Hassan Dist Letter no. 17 Dy 14th July 1910 Submits further report as the Subject Patta applicant were not intimated 19/7/1910
	Dfts Submts AVS 19/7/10

Noti—Contn. sheet.

BPG—35,000—27-4.09

Revenue ecretariat.

File No. 387 of 1908

Serial No.

Mr Subraya Mudliar for Aplt Kappanna and Mr Ramanna for Respt Linganna present. Both Aplt and Respt absent. Case adjd to 15th inst. Septr next

By order,

29/7/1910

29.7.1910

Submitted with Dft notice Issue

29/7/1910

Work wanted received and work done copies ready

5/8/1910

Copies are ready for delivery to the party. The entire file is herewith submitted

Submitted

AR

29.10

Seen 14/9/1910

Mr Subraya Mudr for Applt

Mr Ramanna for Respt.

Both Parties admit that the temple has no inam given or recognised by Govt. The Inam Supdt's report is to the same effect. The dispute about Pujari

BPG—55,000—27-1-09

Revenue tariat.

File No. 387 of 1908

Serial No.

Office of such a temple (which is not a Muzrayi institution) cannot be determined in the Revn. Deptt. The order passed in this case is therefore reversed and the parties will be left to determine their ~~rights~~ dispute in a proper Court having jurisdiction

15/9/10

Submitted with 2275.
The draft should be sent for the Court's orders
19.9.10
19/9/10
Draft revised & submitted
20.9.10
20/9

14 To - The Dy Comr Hafizabad Distt
No 825 dy 27. 9 1910
Forwards copy of the decision stating that the Pujari Office of the temple which is not a Muzrayi Institution cannot be determined in the Revenue Deptt

GPB—55,000—27 4.09

Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 387 of 1908

Serial No.

that the order passed in the case is therefore reserved and the parties will be left to determine their dispute in a proper Court having jurisdiction.

Copies to the Defaulters of other Writs for info.—

GPB—55,000—27-4-09



D.787

Mag F 387-08-1

the Respondents to - exceptions

the said gods lands

V That the plaintiff therefore appealed against the said order of the said Gold Commissioner to the Supreme Court of Yukon Territory which reversed the said order of the Gold Commissioner of Dawson and allowed the said appeal and on the 4th of April 1907 handed down the said judgment by an order bearing that date in accordance with the said

VII That [illegible] order the plaintiff [illegible] with possession of one [illegible] of the said [illegible]

VIII That the Respondent Gold Commissioner of Dawson [illegible] notified and [illegible] of the said application [illegible] refusing the same. He refused the same on the 20th of 1907

IX That the plaintiff thereafter applied for a copy [illegible] Supreme Court [illegible]

X [illegible] shall write [illegible]

XI [illegible]

XII That the [illegible] on the 4th [illegible] 1907 [illegible] 11 months [illegible] 21st December 1907 [illegible]

XIII That the plaintiff [illegible]

(1) That the Respondent [illegible]

...ible and ...

creed.

"8. That the Hindu is an aggregation of all the doctrines
[illegible] - Examples: Hindu - [illegible] - [illegible]
[illegible] - [illegible] - [illegible] - [illegible]
[illegible] - [illegible] - [illegible] - [illegible]
[illegible] - [illegible]

Name:

Address:

Age — 11

Date of Admission — 24.2.908

Date of Discharge —

Date of Death — 4.12.908

Cause of Death — 19.12.1908

Date of Burial — 21-12-1908

Fee Paid — 40.

Remarks — 1-6

Signature of Parent or Guardian

ہے

ہے آپ کو بھی اس کی ضرورت ہے

ہے آپ کو بھی اس کی ضرورت ہے

ہے آپ کو بھی اس کی ضرورت ہے

ہے آپ کو بھی اس کی ضرورت ہے

ہے آپ کو بھی اس کی ضرورت ہے

ہے آپ کو بھی اس کی ضرورت ہے

ہے آپ کو بھی اس کی ضرورت ہے

ہے آپ کو بھی اس کی ضرورت ہے

ہے آپ کو بھی اس کی ضرورت ہے

ہے آپ کو بھی اس کی ضرورت ہے



ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

190 —190 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನಂಬರು

ವಾದಿ,

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.

ವ॥ರ॥ ಪರಿಗೆ.

ಬರಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ತನಾಮ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಫ್ಲೀಸ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗೆ, ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರೀ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಸಹ ಪಡದುಕೊಂಡು ರಶೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ವಾಪಸು ಆಗುವ ದಾವಾ ಸಾಮಾನು ಪ್ರೋಸೆಸ್ ಸಾಮಾನು ಸಹ ಪಡದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನಡಿಸುವೆ ಕೆಲಸಗಳೆನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲೂ ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.

ರುಜು.

ತಾರೀಖು

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರ್‌ನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರ್‌ನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.

ಈ ಫಾರಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ ಚೆಕ್ಕಜೀತಿ ಪಾಪರ್‌ಕ್ಲಿಪರ್‌ಯೆರ್. ನಂಜುಂಡಪ್ಪ

Muz.4]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 1172 Encl 7
387-08-2

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. D 787 dated 6-2-09 received at this office from Kappanna of Hangoddanahalli in the Holenarsipur Taluk regarding the Archakship of "Muthina Mudiyamma" alias Lakshmidevi temple at the place, is forwarded, together with its (8) enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Hassan District for submission of the entire records connected with the case together with a detailed report thereon.

By Order,

Dft) CPZ

Secretary,
~~Muzrai Department~~

BANGALORE,
27 2 1909.

Up 23/09

GPB—500—8-2-09—5

To the pe

11/

In reply to his petition registered no. D 484 appealing against the Hassan Deputy Commrs. order rejecting his claim to the Archakship of Muthina Mudiganna alias Lakshmi Devi temple at Hangodanahalli in the Holenarsipur Taluk, Kappanna (through his Advocate Mr. Subraya Madaliar) is informed that the Appeal petition will be disposed of on receipt has been forwarded for of records from report to the Dy. Commr. Hassan Dist.

No. 1173
387-08-2 dt
27.2.09

By order

Dy Secretary
27/2/09

D 1337

190 Iraq F 387-08-3

From

To
K.S.C -

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No. 382
No. 8

Enclosure No. V.P File #7

Refer
M

Volume
M

15

O ice of the Deputy

Hara District

Date Hargeisa 30th April 1909

P. . . ol ll, Esquire,

Deputy Co. l . ctor,

D' ict.

To

L.S.C ndrea ol ge Esquire, D.A.D L.

S ' to the Government of Hargeisa e t

of Aeore, fen and Ic , Berbera,

Bargi Brig - - ore.

---------O-------

S ;

A to your C. . o. 1170/L 30th-0-0 he

Be t ol, call t the r i ro r co -

t e i o Kappe- of T o o t e

o p t l t. A o of "L t e " o

Il "L " e - , I r o

o t I t , o - o o o

- T o p e o t o e d o -

i l p t o e n e o l -

o e I o e o t o ,

o e p l e, I e n A (.

t , t e) o e o (-

o t I

o - t e o

o t / l ll o

T e A -

o e e o t / ll t o ---

P o S o e

P o

o o t e o

o

o

o

o

e o

as stated by the Patent Act.

2. Petitioner Koppers [illegible] to this office on [illegible], for an allowed order [illegible] to be [illegible] in this petition [illegible] both Mr. [illegible], (see serial no. 61) [illegible] Chief Examiner Hoffer was required to [illegible] the appeal petition.

3. The then General Attorney [illegible] (Mr. Dannenbaum) [illegible] record [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Superintendent
of Inam Settlements
in Mysore.

Dated

Despatched 7.5.09

Received

General No. 1832

Inam 387-08-4

Enclosure No.

Has the honour to request to be furnished with an extract of the Inam register pertaining to the grant of the marginally-noted Inam land for the up-keep of Sri Lakshmi Devi temple at Hanegodanahally in the Holenarsipur Taluk.

By order,
for Secretary.
29.4.09

× Holali - Village.
Cyrlali
Hanegodanahalli

S. No - 1 - area assessment
2 - 0 - 0.

D 1560 X

1908-09
Inam I- 387-08-5

From The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

To The Secretary to the Govern-ment of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore, Genl & Rev. Dept.

Dated 17th May 1909

Despatched

Received

General No. 93
No.

Enclosure No.

States that there is no Inam in the village of Narogodan-halli endowed to Sri Luk-shmidevi Temple in the Hole Narsipur Taluk.

Refer
A
20/5/09
W

18

Inam Superintendents' Office

No 93. Dated, Bangalore 17th May 1909

From

The Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore, General and Revenue
Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 1832 / Muz 387-08 dated 7th May 1909 requesting to be furnished with an extract of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of Inam lands for the up-keep of Sri — Lakshmi devi temple at Narayodemahalli in the Hole Narasipur Taluk, I have the honor to state that Narayodemahalli is a Sowcar-anyam village endowed to Sri Chaturbhuja Pattabhi Ramaswami and Sri Nilakanteswaraswami - and

and that there is no inam in the Village endowed to Sri Lakshmi devi temple. The correct name of the village together with the Page and Serial number of the Quit rent Register may be kindly intimated to enable this office to furnish the copy required.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

D. Thammayya

Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

Draft for approval 20

G.R.S. 29A]

190

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Dy Commr

Dated Nagara Dist

Despatched 4.6.09.

Received

General No. 2283

No. 38-32-3

Enclosure No. Dis. No.

Adverting to his letter no 362 dy 16th April 1909 reporting on the Archakship of the Lakshmidevi temple at Haregodanahally in the Holenarsipur Taluk, has the honour to forward herewith copy of letter no 93 dy 14th May 1909 from the Inam supdt stating that there are no Inam lands in the temple Khata

21

and to request that the correct particulars re their situation etc. may kindly be furnished.

2) The Vernacular records are herewith returned for reference and re-submission

By order,

S. Iyer
for Secretary.

26/5/09

Dy 248

1909-10.

From P.R.W. Wetherall, Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To
K.S. Chandrasekharaiyer, Esq.
B.A.B.L.
Secretary to the Govt. of
Mysore, Gen. and Rev. Dep.
Bangalore.

Dated
1909.

Despatched

Received

General No. 53
No. S

Enclosure No. V.P. folio Two.

SUBJECT.

Submitting a report re the lands in the Khata of Sri Bakeshwara Temple at Haranahalli in

22—

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.
Dated Hassan 30th July 1909.

From,

[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To,

[illegible]
Secretary to the Government of Mysore and Coorg
in Revenue, Police and Excise Matters, Judl. Branch
at Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No. 2083/Judl. 7-387-08-6 dt. 4th June 1909 calling for particulars in regard to the lands in the Khalé of the Sri Lakshmidevi temple at Haragondanhally in the Holenarsipur Taluk I have the honor to state that from extracts of the quit-rent register and the Khatwar Fabriké received from the Amildar it is observed that 3 acres and 5 guntas of dry land in S. No. 2 of the village are in the Khalé of the temple and that the correct name of the village is Haragondahally.

2. The Vernacular papers ending with the General Assistant Commissioner's yadi No. 142 dated 28 instant together with the seven enclosures

and a file of V. P. received with the docket under advertance are herewith submitted for reference and favour of return when no longer required

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant

P. W. Sutherland

Deputy commissioner

G.R.S. 29A]

190

From

Secy. to the Govt of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlement &
Dated at Mysore
Despatched
Received 30. 9. 1907
General No. 612
No. M-7 [illegible]
Enclosure No. 1

Sir

In reply to your letter No 93 dated 17th May 1909, I am directed to forward herewith Copies of letter No 53 dated 30th July 1906, from the Dy Commr. H. Sira &c and the papers therein referred to and to request that an Extract from the Inam Register pertaining to the lands mentioned therein as belonging to the Sri Lakshmi Devi temple at Haragondanahalli in the Holenarsipur Taluk may be furnished to this Office at an early date.

I have &c

MGCPT—20,000— 6-2-09 (1751)

D 539

1909-1910 PRW 387-08

From The Superintendant of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

To The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments

Dated 6th
Despatched 7th
Received
September 1909

General No. 26.
No.

Enclosure No.

Requests that the vernacular correspondence containing an extract from the Inam Register of Sri Lakshmi Devi temple at Harogondanahalli in the Hole Narsipura Taluk may be sent for reference.

Refer to Govt.

9/12/09

GIL—10 000—12-4-09

Inam Superintendent's Office,

No. 26. Dated, Bangalore 6th/7th September 1909

From

The Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of
Mysore, General and Revenue
Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 618
M-7 38708-8
dated 30th August 1909, requesting to be furnished with an extract of the Inam Register pertaining to the lands belonging to the Sri Lakshmi Devi temple at Harogondanahalli in the Hole-Narasipura Taluk, I have the honor to request that the Vernacular Correspondence containing an extract from the Inam Register, referred to in the above, may be kindly sent to this office for reference and return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

[illegible]
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

Mad 1 A]

190

From

To

The Superintendent
of Inam Settlements

Dated

Despatched 23rd September 190

Received

General No. 872

No. M-7.384-05-10

Enclosure No. One file

Sir

As requested in the
letter no 26 dy 6th Sept 1909,
I am directed ~~has the honor~~ to
herewith for reference,
Vernacular corresp
relating to the Inam
of Sri Lakshmi devi
temple at Hargondahally
Holenarsipur Tk

I have &c
~~By order,~~

GPB—8,000—20-7-05

Dft 23/9/09
In Secretary
W 23/9/09

11

15

1-11-1682

1 M1907-387-08-1

From The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore General & Revenue Department

Dated 30th
Despatched } Sepbr. 1909
Received

General No. 29
No.

Enclosure No. Vern. Reports.

States that there is no inam land to Sri Lakshmi Devi temple in Hargandahally Village, Hole-Narasipura Taluk.

AV- Circumstance
1/10/09

27

Inam Superintendents' Office, 13

No. 29 Vern. records. Dated, Bangalore 30th September 1909

From

The Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue
Departments.

Sir,

In returning herewith, the records received with your letter No. 872 Muz F 387-08-10 dated 23rd September 1909, forwarding for reference the Vernacular records relating to the lands of Sri Lakshmidevi temple at Harigondahalli in the Hole-Narasipura Taluk, I have the honor to state that the extract of the quit-rent Register does not pertain to Sri Lakshmidevi temple but to Chaturbhuja Pattabhi — Ramaswami and Nilakanteswaraswami

+Haq V.T. The Khetwar Patrik, received from the

Amildar

Amildar shows that the land is
and this is supported by the Shanbhogue's reply 2-No-9
dated 20th July 1909 that dry land Survey No.
Part of 2 (plotted), extent 3 acres 5 guntas,
assessed at Rs 2/- is in the enjoyment of the
temple of Sri Lakshmidevi in Hargondahally.
Thus it will be seen that there is no inam land
to the temple in question as already stated
in this office letter No. 93 dated 17th May 1909.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

D. Kumara Rao
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

Draft as per endg

Mus 1 A]

190

From

To

The Dy Commr

Hazara Distt

Dated

Despatched 27-11-1909

Received

General No 13572-

No 7 53/1-18-12

Enclosure No Nil

Adverting to correspondence ending with his Letter no 53 dated 30th July 1909, has the honor to forward herewith copy of Letter no 29 dated 30th September 1909 and to state that in the circumstances mentioned therein, the temple which does not enjoy any cash grant also does not appear to be a religious institution the dispute thus appears to be one to be

30

disposed of by a Civil Court. The Dy. Commr is requested to report what assessment or Jodi is actually recovered on account of the land in the Khatiyan and whether this land is a Bala Inam not recognized by Govt.

2) The Verm. records are herewith returned for rect. and re-submission.

By order,

(Sd) [illegible]

for Secretary

D 113

VII 1910-11

1/1 1387-08-13

From

E.H.H. Wethered, Esqre.

Deputy Commissioner,

To Hassan District.

C.S.Balasundaraiyer, Esq. B.A.
Off. Secretary to the Govt.of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Gen. and Rev. Depts., Fruit. Branch
Bangalore.

Dated 14th July 1910.

Despatched

Received

General No. 17
No 8

Enclosure No. V. P. file.

Submitting a report re-assessment or dasturi actually received on account of the land in the Khata of Sri Lakshmi Devi Temple in Haragowdahalli, Hole-narsipur Taluk

Ack. Circulate
JJL
18/7/10

rec'd 4 Aug 10

31

Deputy Com^r

Hassan

Dated Hassan, 14-7-1910.

[illegible],

[illegible],

[illegible].

[illegible],

[illegible] Secretary to the Government of

[illegible] Revenue Department.

Inam Branch,

Adverting to your docket No 1352
M.J. 387-08-1

dated the 29th November 1909,
requesting the submission of a
report as to what assessment
or Jodi is actually recovered
on account of the land in the
Khata of the temple of Sri Lakshmi-
Devi in Hargondahalli Hole-narsipur
Talukte, I have the honour to state
that an assessment of Rs 2/-,
with Local Cess of 2 annas, and
Irrigation Cess of 7 pies or Rs 2-2-7
is being actually recovered
as Jodi. This land is treated
as

as Halu Kam, as per entry made in the Khetwar Register

2. The file of vernacular papers ending with the General Assistant Commissioner's yadi No. 1298/343 dated 14th April 1910, is herewith resubmitted for reference and obliging return when done with.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

Disp as per cls order

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. ~~~ of 1910 11

Appellant.	Vs.	Respondent.
Kappanna		Lingappa,

SUBJECT.

Pujariship of Muttina Mudizamma alias Lakshmidevi temple at Hargowdanahally endowed with a Sthala Inam Holenarasipur Tq

Decision

The case was heard before Govt, the aplt was represented by Mr Subraya Modhar and the respt by Mr Ramanna

Both parties admit that the Temple has no Inam given or recognized by Govt the Inam Supdt's report is the

2/4 absolute effect. The dispute about
office of such a temple (which is not a
muzrai instn) cannot be determined
in the Muz. Dept. The order passed
in this case is therefore reversed and
the parties will be left to determine
their dispute in a proper court having
jurisdiction

No 825 ~~Fortnightly~~ Dy 27 September 1910.
Mis 387-08-14

Copy forwarded to the Dy. Commr.
Hassan Dist, returning the records
received with correspondence ending
with his letter no. 179 Dy 14th July 1910.

Pein

B.O.
27/9/1910
Secretary

No 26-32 Dy 27.9.1910.
Mis 387-08-14

Copies to the Dy. Commrs.
of other Dists for info.

B.O.
27/9/1910
Secretary
MDU

Copied by

Inam Sketch

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal. No. of 1910-11.

Appellant.	Vs.	Respondent.
Kempanna.		Linganna.

Subject.

Pujarishjp of Muthina Udiyarma alias Lakshmidevi temple endowed with a Sthala Inam at Hargowdanhally Holenarasipur Taluk.

Decision.

The case was heard before Government, the appellant was represented by Mr. Subraya Moodliar and the respondent by Mr. Ramanna.

Both parties admit that the temple has no Inam given or recognised by Government. The Inam Superintendent's report is to the same effect. The dispute about the Pujari Office of such a temple (which is not a Muzrai institution) cannot be determined in the Muzrai Department. The Order passed in this case is therefore reversed and the parties will be left to determine their dispute in a proper court having jurisdiction.

Memo.

No. Dated Bangalore. September 1910

Copy forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner Hassan District, returning the records received with correspondence ending with his letter No.17 dated 14th July 1910.

By Order

Sd. C.S. Balasundaram Ayyr

For Offg Secretary to Government.

To

Copies to the Deputy Commissioners of other Districts for information.

D.F. 6.

By order,

For Secretary

No. 854 dt 5-10-1910
mun 387-08-15

3/

ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಲಾಯಿತು -

ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುವ
ಸಭಾ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ
ಸಂಗತಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲಾಯಿತು, ಆದರೆ
ಅದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಟ್ಟು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು
ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು
ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಟ್ಟರು, ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ
ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದನ್ನು
ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುವ ಪುಸ್ತಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದನ್ನು
ಸಭೆಯು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲು ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿತು -

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು B.O. 10/9/1910

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ Secretary

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಸಹಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಿಸಿದ
ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಅಂತ ಕೊಡಿಸಿದ
ಸಹಿ ದರ್ಶಿಯವರು -

B.O. 10/9/1910

Hon. Secretary

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai, dated 27th September 1910.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

APPEAL NO. OF 1910-11.

Appellant	*Vs.*	Respondent
Kappanna		Linganna.

Subject.

Pujarishp of Muthiana Mudiyamma *alias* Lakshmidevi temple endowed with a Sthala Inam at Haragowdanahalli, Hole-Narsipur Taluk.

Decision.

The case was heard before Government, the appellant was represented by Mr. Subraya Mudaliar and the respondent by Mr. Ramanna.

Both parties admit that the temple has no Inam given or recognised by Government. The Inam Superintendent's report is to the same effect. The dispute about the pujari office of such a temple (which is not a Muzrai institution) cannot be determined in the Muzrai Department. The order passed in this case is therefore reversed and the parties will be left to determine their dispute in a proper court having jurisdiction.

Memo.

No. 825—MUZ. 387-08-14, DATED BANGALORE, 27TH SEPTEMBER 1910.

Copy forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, returning the records received with correspondence ending with his letter No. 17, dated 14th July 1910.

Draft By Order,
Offg Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Copies to the Deputy Commissioners of other Districts for information.

By Order,
C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
Offg. Secy to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts

1910-11

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGH
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 27th September 1910.

No. 825
Muz. 387-08-14

Passing orders regarding the pujarishipof Muthiana Mudiyamma *alias* Lakshmidevi temple at Haragowdanahalli in the Hole-Narsipur Taluk.

GPB—15-10-10-200